UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,138	02/18/2004	Kevin Corcoran	ORM / 242US 3385	
26875 7590 09/24/2007 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			EXAMINER	
2700 CAREW	OWER		PICKETT, JOHN G	
441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/781,138	CORCORAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
1	Greg Pickett	3728

	Greg Pickett	3720	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply r	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o	it of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see N		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	ı		
			•

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's proposed definition for "appliance" is not contained in the original specification.

Moreover, there is no evidence that the definition presented was the accepted definition at the time of the invention since there is no date on the presented evidence.

Further, the presented definition may be disputed; for example, Dorlands Medical Dictionary defines appliance as, "in dentistry, a general term refering to various devices used to provide a function or therapeutic effect".

Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969).

Applicant's remaining arguments were addressed in the Advisory Action mailed 2 August 2007. Aside from the rejections under 35 USC 112, 2nd, the remaining rejections presented in the Office Action of 5 June 2007 are maintained.

/Greg Pickett/ Examiner AU 3728